UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/980,748	04/11/2002	Shlomo Ben-Haim	IMP031.228770	7537	
	7590 10/10/200 K, SHORR AND SOLI	EXAMINER			
250 PARK AVENUE 10TH FLOOR			HOLMES, REX R		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@WOLFBLOCK.COM

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/980,74	.8	BEN-HAIM ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		REX HOL	MES	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve iod will apply and wi tute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	June 2008						
'=		his action is n	on-final.					
<i>'</i> —	<b>,</b>			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
-		and 53 is/are	nending in the applicat	ion				
· —	Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,12,14,32-35,39,44,49,50,52 and 53</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) <u>34,35,39 and 44</u> is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54,55,39 and 44</u> is/are allowed. )⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,12,14,32,33,49,50,52 and 53</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	13/arc rejecte	u.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election re	equirement					
		a, or cicculon i	squirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  a)∏ a	ccepted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some coll None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 09/980,748 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 34-35, 39 and 44 allowed.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 5-6, 8, 12, 14, 32, 33, 49, 50, 52 and 53 is withdrawn in view of new rejections. Rejections follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 5-6, 8, 12, 14, 49, 50, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In this case the specification is silent as to a pulse with a rate greater than 10Hz and amplitude less than 30mA and a peak power less than 100W. The specification does say that a pulse having a frequency above 100Hz and a amplitude between -30 to 30mA can be used on rabbit hearts (Spec, Page 13, II. 5-9), but the specification is silent as to the peak power for this instance and the 30mA has not been stated to be used with a rate "greater than" 10Hz. There is no support in the specification for the combination of frequency, amplitude and power for use on human hearts.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,748 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra (U.S. Pat. 5,683,429) in view of Hess et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,713,929 hereinafter "Hess").
- 8. Regarding claim 32, Mehra discloses an example that includes a frequency greater than 10Hz (~20Hz -200 Hz), pulse energy less than 1 joule (~0.05 J), with a burst duration of at least 100 ms (Col. 11, II. 64-67; Col. 12, II. 1-21). Mehra further discloses that the system includes circuitry to sense for depolarizations (i.e. contractions of the heart) and accordingly modifying the signal (Col. 11, II. 5-53). Mehra teaches a system for defibrillating the atrium of the heart using pacing level stimulation without the use of defibrillation shocks. A person of ordinary skill in the art, upon reading the

Art Unit: 3762

reference, would also have recognized the desirability of improved methods for treating ventricular fibrillation. Hess teaches a method of pacing to prevent atrial fibrillation and further discloses that the methods can be used to treat ventricular fibrillation (Col. 1, II. 6-13). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to try methods of Mehra for ventricular fibrillation, as a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. In turn, since Mehra discloses a method of pacing the atrium to defibrillate the heart without a defibrillation shock, and the prior art discloses a pacing method to treat fibrillation that can be used in either the atrium or the ventricles, it would have been obvious to use the method of Mehra in the ventricles.

9. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra (U.S. Pat. 5,683,429) in view of Hess et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,713,929 hereinafter "Hess") and further in view of KenKnight (U.S. Pat. 5,797,967).

Regarding claim 33, Mehra discloses an example that includes a frequency greater than 10Hz (~20Hz -200 Hz), pulse energy less than 1 joule (~0.05 J), with a burst duration of at least 100 ms (Col. 11, II. 64-67; Col. 12, II. 1-21). Mehra further discloses that the system includes circuitry to sense for depolarizations and accordingly modifying the signal (Col. 11, II. 5-53). Mehra teaches a system for defibrillating the atrium of the heart using pacing level stimulation without the use of defibrillation shocks. A person of ordinary skill in the art, upon reading the reference, would also have recognized the desirability of improved methods for treating ventricular fibrillation. Hess teaches a method of pacing to prevent atrial fibrillation and further discloses that the

Application/Control Number: 09/980,748

Art Unit: 3762

methods can be used to treat ventricular fibrillation (Col. 1, II. 6-13). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to try methods of Mehra for ventricular fibrillation, as a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. In turn, since Mehra discloses a method of pacing the atrium to defibrillate the heart without a defibrillation shock, and the prior discloses a pacing method to treat fibrillation that can be used in either the atrium or the ventricles, it would have been obvious to use the method of Mehra in the ventricles. Mehra in view of Hess teaches the claimed invention but fails to disclose the use of fencing signals to inhibit the propagation of an activation wave. However, KenKnight discloses the use of fencing signals to inhibit and control activation fronts as set forth in Column 11, lines 12-34 to provide a signal to inhibit the and control activation fronts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Mehra in view of Hess, with the use of fencing signals as taught by KenKnight, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of a fibrillation system that inhibits activation fronts allowing for control of the heart chambers.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REX HOLMES whose telephone number is (571)272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,748 Page 6

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3762

/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762